IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

444444444444
NO. 01-1070
444444444444

IN THE INTEREST OF N.K. AND D.T.K., CHILDREN

4444444444444444444444444444444444444444444444444444
ON PETITION FOR REVIEW FROM THE
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS
4444444444444444444444444444444444444444444444444444

Per Curiam

In this case, the trial court terminated the parent-child relationship between Casey K. and her children N.K. and D.T.K. The court of appeals affirmed the trial court's decision. 54 S.W.3d 499, 506. In doing so, the court of appeals reviewed the evidence under the traditional factual sufficiency standard, holding that the court would "sustain a factual sufficiency challenge only if we conclude that the finding is so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust." *Id.* at 504. Our decision today in *In re C.H.*, __ S.W.3d __ (Tex. 2002) (No. 00-0552), rejects that approach. Accordingly, without hearing oral argument, we grant the petition for review without reference to the merits, vacate the court of appeals' judgment, and remand this case to that court for further proceedings. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 59.1, 60.2(f).

OPINION DELIVERED: July 3, 2002