



one of TXU's largest customers, argues that any overpayments or underpayments of transition charges by any one class should be reallocated among all TXU's customers, thereby fully cross-collateralizing responsibility for the transition as TXU proposed to the Commission. Without deciding whether the Commission was empowered to depart this far from the allocation requirements of section 39.253, we easily conclude that the Commission was not required to adopt this approach instead of the somewhat more restricted non-standard true-up. For the same reasons explained in our concurring opinion in that case, we approve of the non-standard true-up procedure in this case.

---

Nathan L. Hecht  
Justice

Opinion delivered: